

# Assessment of Nutrient Intake among Rural Farming Households in Imo State, Nigeria

**Bede, Evelyn Njideka<sup>1</sup>; Uwandu, Chisom Norberth<sup>2\*</sup>; Ariyo, Oluwaseun<sup>3</sup>; Odoemenam, Emeka Festus<sup>4</sup>; Odega, Emmanuel Ekene<sup>5</sup>; Ojo, Tomilola Juliana<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, Federal University of Technology, Owerri

<sup>3</sup>Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Ibadan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension Federal University of Technology, Owerri

<sup>5</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, University of Ibadan

\*Corresponding author: fruitfulvine19@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Adequate nutrient intake is one of the key promoters of good health. An inadequate diet, poor in both quantity and quality constitutes the major reason for high level of malnutrition among farming households.

**Objective:** The study assessed nutrient intake among rural farming households in Imo State, Nigeria.

**Methods:** A four-staged sampling technique was used to select a total of 122 farming households in Imo state. Purposively, caregivers in each of the selected households were interviewed. Analysis of nutrient intake was performed using Food Processor Software version 11.7.1, while all statistical analysis were performed using SPSS version 23.0. Usual nutrient intake of the farming households' caregivers were compared with the Dietary Reference Intake (DRI). Caregivers' anthropometric parameters were obtained using standard instruments.

**Results:** Averagely, households' breadwinner's monthly income, years spent in education by households' caregivers, and household size were ₦45000±33500, 12.99±2.53, and 5±2 respectively. Almost half (51.6%) of the households' caregivers had normal weight. Average contribution of protein, fat and carbohydrate to the total energy intake were adequate for the households' caregivers. Compared to recommendations, all the caregivers aged 19-30 years had inadequate intakes of vitamins D and K. Over 83.0% of the households' caregivers aged 31-50 years had inadequate intake of calcium. However, more than 78.0% of the households' caregivers in each of the age group had excess intake of copper.

**Conclusion:** The study therefore concludes that mean intake of potassium, calcium, vitamins D and K for the farming households in the study area were inadequate, while protein intake was adequate.

**Keywords:** Farming households, nutrient intake, energy, caregivers.

## INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains the backbone of Nigeria's economy, providing employment for about 35% of the population [1, 2] and contributes about 30 percent to the overall Gross Domestic Product (GDP) [3]. According to Ngongi and Urassa [4], rural households in Nigeria are mostly engaged in agriculture either as a primary or secondary

means of livelihood and are responsible for more than half of the nation's food production [5]. It is expected that food production by these rural farming households should provide a sustainable pathway out of poverty and contribute to household and national food security, but contrary to this expectation, the rural households

remained deprived, malnourished and marginalized thus resulting to high prevalence of malnutrition among them [6, 7, 8, 9].

Although malnutrition is widespread in Nigeria, studies have shown higher burden of malnutrition among rural households when compared to urban households [10, 11]. Despite the importance of the rural farming households in promoting food security and economy of the nation, there is no national report on the nutritional status of this sub-population. Pockets of studies across northern and southern regions of Nigeria have reported inadequate intakes of energy, carbohydrates, protein, fibre, potassium, iron, vitamins A and C, calcium, phosphorus, potassium and zinc among rural farming households [12, 13, 14]. Inadequate intake of energy among rural households constitute a red flag suggesting food insecurity and shortfall of several other multiple micronutrients among this group.

Though previous studies have identified some nutritional insights prevailing among the rural farming households [12, 13], yet, information provided is narrowed to average intakes of a limited number of nutrients. Also, previous studies did not compare energy intake to estimated energy requirement [12, 13, 14]. In addition, the distribution of the energy sources in relation to the acceptable macronutrient distribution range is not known. This is important particularly in Nigeria where staple foods are largely plant based starchy roots and tubers or cereals. Providing a detailed information on the nutrient intake of rural farming households in Nigeria, will form a basis for developing a tailored-nutrition education geared towards promoting dietary behavioral changes that would ensure adequate nutrition among this important group.

Based on the foregoing, this study was designed to assess nutrient intake among rural farming households.

### **Materials and methods**

The study was descriptive, cross-sectional, and adopted a four-stage sampling technique in selecting the farming households.

The first stage involved selection of two agricultural zones (Owerri and Orlu zones) out of three agricultural zones in Imo state. The second stage involved selection of two agricultural blocks (Owerri west and Oguta blocks) from Owerri zone and three blocks (Ideato south, Orlu, and Ideato north blocks) from Orlu zone. The third stage

involved selection of agricultural cells from the selected blocks as follows: Obinze, Eziobodo, Umuagwo, and Ihiagwa (Owerri West block); Umuokwu, Ugbele, Amakofia, and Orsu (Oguta block); Ogboko, Umuma Isiaku, Umuchima, and Ugbelle (Ideato South block); Osina, Akokwa, and Urualla (Ideato North block); Umuna, Amaifeke, Ihioma, Umutanze, and Okporo (Orlu block). In the final stage, farming households from the chosen agricultural cells were selected as follows: Obinze (5), Eziobodo(7), Umuagwo(6), and Ihiagwa(5) (Owerri West block); Umuokwu (3), Ugbele (9), Amakofia (6), and Orsu (4) (Oguta block); Ogboko (6), Umuma Isiaku (5), Umuchima (3), and Ugbelle (11) (Ideato South block); Osina (8), Akokwa (11), and Urualla (6) (Ideato North block); Umuna (8), Amaifeke (8), Ihioma (4), Umutanze (3), and Okporo (4) (Orlu block). The agricultural zones, blocks, cells and farming households were selected by simple random sampling. A total of one hundred and twenty two farming households were selected for the study.

In all the sampled farming households, caregivers who did not give their consent to participate as well as those with pregnant woman as the caregiver were excluded from the study, while non-pregnant caregivers who gave their consent were chosen to represent the household. Their anthropometric indices were measured and they were asked to recall all the foods, drinks, and beverages eaten in the immediate past 24 hours of the survey. The age of the households' caregivers in the study area was categorized into two groups based on age categorization for Dietary Reference Intakes (DRI) recommendations [16]. These two groups are 19 – 30 years and 31 – 50 years.

Indigenous enumerators familiar with the foods in each study area were used for proper collection and recording of the data. Data on farming households' socioeconomic attributes such as household size, monthly income of households' breadwinners, years spent in education by the households' caregivers, etc were obtained using structured questionnaire. Anthropometric parameters (body weight and height) of the households' caregivers were collected. A 24-hour dietary recall was used to record what the farming households consumed on the immediate past 24 hours of the survey. Foods, beverages, and water consumed and the quantity consumed (either in standard units or monetary values) were recorded. Analysis of nutrient intake was performed using ESHA's Food Processor ®

Nutrition Analysis software version 11.7.1.

Estimated Energy Requirement (EER) was calculated for each household's caregiver using [15] equation based on their age, body weight, height, sex and Physical Activity Level (PAL). The PAL of the farming households' caregivers was adjudged as 'very active' due to the strenuous activities (such as land preparation, weeding, planting, harvesting, processing, etc) involved in farming. Estimated Energy Requirement calculated as described by Institute of Medicine [15] was adopted. Usual nutrients' intake was compared with the Dietary Reference Intake. Macronutrients' intakes were evaluated as percentage of total energy intake. Inadequate or excessive intake of macronutrients was classified as percentages less than the lower limit or higher than the upper limit of the acceptable macronutrient distribution ranges (AMDR), while intake within the AMDR were adjudged adequate. Vitamins and minerals' intake were compared with either Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) or Adequate Intake (AI) or Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (UL). Usual intake of vitamins or minerals below EAR/AI was adjudged as inadequate, intake within EAR/AI and UL was adjudged adequate, while intake above UL was arbitrated as excess. For nutrients that do not have established UL, intake below EAR/AI indicate inadequate while intake equal to EAR/AI and above denote adequate. When a nutrient does not have any known issue if taken in excessive doses, it is not assigned a UL.

Body Mass Index (BMI) that is  $\text{weight/height}^2$  ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ) was calculated for each household caregiver. Estimates of  $\text{weight/height}^2$  was categorized into four using [9] standards as follows: 'BMI below  $18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$  = underweight', 'BMI between  $18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$  –  $24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  = normal weight', 'BMI between  $25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$  -  $29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  = overweight', and 'BMI of  $30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and above = obese'.

All statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 23.0.

## Results

### Socioeconomic attributes of the rural farming households in Imo state

Table 1 shows the distribution of the socioeconomic attributes of the rural farming households in the study area. The findings showed that the average monthly income of the farming households' breadwinners was  $\text{₦}45000 \pm 33500$ , average number of years spent in education by the caregivers was  $12.99 \pm 2.53$

years and the size of the farming households ranged between 2 to 16 persons per household with an average of  $5 \pm 2$ . Household size between 5 and 16 persons constituted a higher percentage (62.3%), while household size between 2 and 4 persons constituted a lower percentage (37.7%). Farming households in Imo state cultivated between 0.06 and 20 hectares with average cultivated farm size of  $1.16 \pm 1.74$  hectares. Majority (98.4%) of the farming households cultivated between 0.06 and 9.9 hectares (small scale farmers) while 1.6% cultivated between 10 and 20 hectares. The finding on the type of farming enterprise of the farming households in the study area indicated that 68.0% engaged in crop cultivation, 31.2% engaged in both crop and livestock farming, while 0.8% engaged in poultry. Table 1 also showed that, 32.0% of the farming households' caregivers were between the age of 19 and 30 years, while 68.0% were between 31 and 50 years.

### Rural farming households' caregivers' Body Mass Index

The result of the rural farming households' caregivers' Body Mass Index as presented in Table 2 showed that 51.6% had normal weight (BMI between  $18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and  $24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), 21.3% were underweight (BMI less than  $18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), 18.9% were overweight (BMI between  $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and  $29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), while 8.2% were obese (BMI of  $30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and above).

### Energy and nutrients intake of rural farming households' caregivers aged 19- 30 years

The result on energy and nutrients intake of rural farming households' caregivers aged 19 and 30 years is presented in Table 3. The result showed that the mean calorie intake of the caregivers was  $2900.20 \pm 915.62 \text{ kcal/day}$  which exceeded their average Estimated Energy Requirement ( $2786.32 \pm 727.01 \text{ kcal/day}$ ). Dietary fat contributed 25.89% of the energy and about 34.6% of the caregivers had energy intake from fats below the lower limit of acceptable macronutrient distribution range (AMDR). The mean energy intake from protein was 11.93% and about 25.0% of the caregivers had energy intake from protein below the lower limit of AMDR. Average energy intake from carbohydrate was about 62.18% and about 41.9% of the caregivers had energy intake from carbohydrate above the upper limit of AMDR.

With reference to total dietary fibre, the average intake was  $15.48 \pm 10.74 \text{ g/day}$  which is below the Adequate Intake of 25/38g/day.

**Table 1: Socioeconomic attributes of the rural farming households in Imo state, n = 122**

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)	Mean	SD
<b>Household's breadwinner's monthly income (₦)</b>				
5000 – 44000	64	52.5	45000	33500
45500 – 100000	58	47.5		
<b>Years spent in education by household caregivers</b>				
1 – 6	7	5.7	12.99	2.53
7 – 12	68	55.7		
13 – 24	47	38.5		
<b>Household size</b>				
2 – 4	46	37.7	5	2
5 – 16	76	62.3		
<b>Households' farm size (hectare)</b>				
0.06 – 9.9	120	98.4	1.16	1.74
10 – 20	2	1.6		
<b>Type of households' farming enterprise</b>				
Crop	83	68.0		
Both crop and livestock	38	31.2		
Poultry	1	0.8		
<b>Households' caregivers' age</b>				
19 – 30 years	39	32.0		
31 – 50 years	83	68.0		

Source: Field Survey, 2022

**Table 2: Farming households' caregivers' Body Mass Index, n = 122**

Caregivers' Body Mass Index (BMI)	Frequency	Percent (%)
Under-weight (0 - 18.49 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26	21.3
Normal weight (18.5 - 24.99 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	63	51.6
Overweight (25 - 29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23	18.9
Obese (30 - 46.6 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	10	8.2

Source: Field Survey, 2022

With respect to vitamins intake, households' caregivers' average intake per day of thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin C, vitamin A, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, and folate were  $9.70 \pm 53.77$  mg/day,  $1.39 \pm 0.99$  mg/day,  $15.48 \pm 15.30$  mg/day,  $89.70 \pm 159.04$  mg/day,  $529.38 \pm 1155.93$  mcg/day,  $1.64 \pm 3.69$  mg/day,  $11.87 \pm 45.47$  mcg/day,  $1.35 \pm 2.09$  mcg/day,  $3.94 \pm 5.16$  mg/day,  $8.85 \pm 14.07$  mcg/day, and  $245.66 \pm 189.65$  mcg/day respectively. On the basis of adequacy of vitamins intake, 46.1%, 33.3%, 46.1%, 61.5%, 56.4%, 69.2%, 100.0%, 94.9%, 100.0%, and 76.4% of the caregivers had intakes below their corresponding Estimated Average Requirements (EAR) in thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin C, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, and folate respectively. Low intake of vitamin D from diet may not present a health challenge as the skin

has been noted as key means of producing the vitamin and not diet [17].

The average intake of the caregivers for calcium, phosphorus, iron, sodium, zinc, magnesium, potassium, selenium, and copper were  $590.77 \pm 249.94$  mg/day,  $894.08 \pm 421.59$  mg/day,  $22.85 \pm 7.39$  mg/day,  $1908.38 \pm 1485.90$  mg/day,  $10.35 \pm 4.75$  mg/day,  $420.61 \pm 189.34$  mg/day,  $2032.16 \pm 1255.44$  mg/day,  $54.69 \pm 56.53$  mcg/day, and  $68.26 \pm 209.51$  mg/day respectively. On adequacy of minerals intake per day, 83.8%, 22.3%, 79.4%, 36.7%, 48.7%, 20.5%, 97.8%, 54.1% and 87.1% of the caregivers had intake below their individual Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) in calcium, phosphorus, iron, sodium, zinc, magnesium, potassium, selenium, and copper respectively.

**Table 3: Energy and nutrients intake of farming households' caregivers aged 19 - 30 years**

Age groups	R			Mean $\pm$ SD	Percentile						% above/below EAR/AMDR/AI
	EAR/AMDR	AI	UL/AMDR		10 <sup>th</sup>	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>	90 <sup>th</sup>		
<b>Calorie(k/cal)</b>		M/F	M/F	M/F	2900.20 $\pm$ 915.62	1948.51	2199.22	2603.20	3290.15	3729.38	
<b>Total fat (%)</b>	20*	-	-	35*	25.89 $\pm$ 10.86	14.92	17.80	24.53	31.28	38.91	34.6%<AMDR
<b>Fat (g/d)</b>	-	-	-	-	11.93 $\pm$ 3.50	6.43	9.99	12.77	13.91	15.18	25.0%<AMDR
<b>Protein (%)</b>	10*	-	-	35*	72.48 $\pm$ 41.20	25.95	38.97	65.96	97.43	123.91	
<b>Protein (g/kg/day)</b>	-	-	-	-	62.18 $\pm$ 10.89	50.68	55.56	63.37	71.07	74.08	41.9%>AMDR
<b>CHO (%)</b>	45*	-	-	65*	456.22 $\pm$ 141.09	244.36	352.00	477.84	559.95	638.07	
<b>CHO (g/d)</b>	100	-	-	-	15.48 $\pm$ 10.74	1.44	7.74	15.99	22.53	28.211	
<b>Total fibre (g/day)</b>	-	-	-	-	38/25						
<b>Vitamins</b>											
<b>Thiamin (mg/day)</b>	1.0/0.9	-	-	-	9.70 $\pm$ 53.77	0.37	0.51	0.97	1.53	2.06	46.1%<EAR
<b>Riboflavin (mg/day)</b>	1.1/0.9	-	-	-	1.39 $\pm$ 0.99	0.62	0.77	1.08	1.58	2.20	33.3%<EAR
<b>Niacin (mg/day)</b>	12/11	-	-	35	15.48 $\pm$ 15.30	1.93	5.77	12.82	19.72	32.19	46.1%<EAR
<b>Vitamin C (mg/day)</b>	75/56	-	-	2000	89.70 $\pm$ 159.04	0.00	13.76	43.02	83.05	189.26	61.5%<EAR
<b>Vitamin A (mcg/day)</b>	625/500	-	-	3000	529.38 $\pm$ 1155.93	0.00	7.79	54.18	319.56	2811.92	
<b>Vitamin B<sub>6</sub> (mg/day)</b>	1.1/1.1	-	-	100	1.64 $\pm$ 3.69	0.11	0.28	0.99	1.54	2.19	56.4%<EAR
<b>Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (mcg/day)</b>	2.0/2.0	-	-	-	11.87 $\pm$ 45.47	0.00	0.00	1.04	2.35	4.46	69.2%<EAR
<b>Vitamin D (mcg/day)</b>	10/10	-	-	100	1.35 $\pm$ 2.09	0.00	0.00	0.05	1.73	4.61	100.0%<EAR
<b>Vitamin E (mg/day)</b>	12/12	-	-	1000	3.94 $\pm$ 5.16	0.20	0.60	2.05	4.77	10.90	94.9%<EAR
<b>Vitamin K (mcg/day)</b>	-	-	-	90	8.85 $\pm$ 14.07	0.00	0.75	3.09	10.52	21.73	100.0%<EAR
<b>Folate (mcg/day)</b>	320/320	-	-	-	245.66 $\pm$ 189.65	27.25	103.26	217.10	318.20	437.11	76.4%<EAR
<b>Minerals</b>											
<b>Calcium (mg/day)</b>	800/800	-	-	2500	590.77 $\pm$ 249.94	350.59	436.28	599.85	685.92	863.96	83.8%<EAR
<b>Phosphorus (mg/day)</b>	580/580	-	-	-	894.08 $\pm$ 421.59	389.25	650.59	882.44	1128.41	1405.10	22.3%<EAR
<b>Iron (mg/day)</b>	13.7/29.4	-	-	-	22.85 $\pm$ 7.39	12.74	16.67	22.93	26.50	34.40	79.4%<EAR
<b>Sodium (mg/day)</b>	-	-	-	2300	1908.38 $\pm$ 1485.90	498.07	836.62	1813.32	2235.78	3054.83	36.7%<EAR
<b>Zinc (mg/day)**</b>	14.0/9.8	-	-	-	10.35 $\pm$ 4.75	4.35	6.91	10.80	12.78	16.65	48.7%<EAR
<b>Magnesium (mg/day)</b>	330/255	-	-	350	420.61 $\pm$ 189.34	196.99	292.11	384.76	556.13	733.91	20.5%<EAR
<b>Potassium (mg/day)</b>	-	-	-	5100	2032.16 $\pm$ 1255.44	412.71	1070.96	2142.35	2588.80	3517.57	97.8%<AI
<b>Selenium (mcg/day)</b>	45/45	-	-	400	54.69 $\pm$ 56.53	0.64	6.74	42.35	87.78	154.70	54.1%<EAR
<b>Copper (mg/day)</b>	0.7/0.7	-	-	10	68.26 $\pm$ 209.51	8.40	11.59	19.69	39.78	49.67	87.1%>EAR
<b>EER</b>					2786.32 $\pm$ 727.01	2061.51	2125.92	2263.20	2408.67	2567.47	

**Source:** Field Survey, 2022; The following [15] equations were used to calculate Estimated Energy Requirements (EER):  
 Adult male = 662 - (9.53 x age [years]) + PA x {(15.91 x weight [kg]) + (539.6 x height [m])};  
 Adult females = 354 - (6.91 x age [years]) + PA x {(9.36 x weight [kg]) + (726 x height [m])}

### Energy and nutrients intake of farming households' caregivers aged 31- 50 years

The result on energy and nutrients intake of the caregivers in the rural farming households aged 31 and 50 years is presented in Table 4. The mean calorie intake of these caregivers ( $2694.38 \pm 785.48$  kcal/day) surpassed their Estimated Energy Requirement ( $2185.74 \pm 218.66$  kcal). Dietary fat contributed about 22.24% of their energy intake and 19.9% of the caregivers had energy intake from fat below the lower limit of AMDR. The mean contribution of protein to energy intake was 13.11%, with 2.4% of the caregivers having energy intake from protein below the lower limit of AMDR. Contribution of carbohydrate to energy intake was about 64.65% and 48.7% of the caregivers had intake of energy from carbohydrate below the lower limit of AMDR.

Average fibre intake among the farming households' caregivers was  $14.56 \pm 10.49$  g/day.

As regards households' caregivers' intake of vitamins, Table 4 reports that the average intake for thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin C, vitamin A, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, and folate were  $12.14 \pm 55.01$  mg/day,  $1.55 \pm 0.78$  mg/day,  $17.32 \pm 11.42$  mg/day,  $44.55 \pm 126.46$  mg/day,  $213.90 \pm 518.22$  mcg/day,  $2.23 \pm 5.63$  mg/day,  $25.68 \pm 68.14$  mcg/day,  $2.57 \pm 2.63$  mcg/day,  $3.09 \pm 3.00$  mg/day,  $14.22 \pm 14.77$  mcg/day, and  $313.33 \pm 208.67$  mcg/day discretely. On vitamin intake adequacy, 30.8%, 25.6%, 25.6%, 82.1%, 94.8%, 51.7%, 56.5%, 98.7%, 98.7%, 100%, and 56.4% of the caregivers had values below their corresponding Estimated Average Requirement (EAR) in thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, vitamin C, vitamin A, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, and folate. Also, low intake of vitamin D among this group further buttressed the fact that diet is not the major source of this vitamin.

Mean intake of the caregivers in calcium, phosphorus, iron, sodium, zinc, magnesium, potassium, selenium, and copper were  $658.89 \pm 459.61$  mg/day,  $942.99 \pm 444.28$  mg/day,  $21.39 \pm 7.42$  mg/day,  $1574.40 \pm 1446.95$  mg/day,  $12.09 \pm 7.09$  mg/day,  $331.79 \pm 146.96$  mg/day,  $1671.17 \pm 963.47$  mg/day,  $68.25 \pm 53.08$  mcg/day, and  $110.90 \pm 575.57$  mg/day respectively. On mineral intake adequacy, Table 4 showed that 83.8%, 15.1%, 76.9%, 59.9%, 51.3%, 33.3%, and 33.8% of the caregivers had intake values below their individual EAR in calcium, phosphorus, iron,

sodium, zinc, magnesium, and selenium respectively. More so, 2.6% of the caregivers had potassium intake values above Adequate Intake, while 78.7% had copper intake values above Upper Tolerable Intake Level.

### Discussion

Following the findings on the socioeconomic attributes of the farming households in the study area, it could be inferred that the households were low-income earners and the possibility of these farming households consuming most of their cultivated agricultural produce is almost indispensable if they were to have proper nutrients for optimal health. Low income is likely to affect nutrient intake negatively. Result on average number of years spent in education implied that majority of the farming households' caregivers who bought, prepared and apportioned foods to every member within the farming households were literate. This is expected to translate to better dietary knowledge towards making good nutritional choices for the household members. Educated caregivers are better aware of the nutritional requirements of their household members. This result corroborated previous study in Benue State [18] where majority of the caregivers in rural farming households spent average of 12 years in education. The size of majority of the farming households were large and were mostly small scale farmers as judged by international standards for classification of farmers [19] whose major farming activities were on crop and livestock.

Nearly half of the farming households' caregivers had double burden of malnutrition expressed as either under-nutrition (manifested as underweight) or over-nutrition (manifested as overweight or obesity). This could be attributed to the large size of their households. While a large household size could imply a sufficient supply of labour for farming activities as submitted by [20], a large farming household size might also delineated over-dependency on household resources to cater for their dietary needs.

From the findings on energy and nutrients intake of the farming households' caregivers in the study area, it could be deduced that the average energy intake per day among the two age groups of households' caregivers exceeded their respective mean Estimated Energy Requirement. It is possible therefore, that the high calorie intake recorded could have accounted for the overweight status of 27.1% of the households' caregivers documented in Table 2 which is similar

**Table 4: Mean usual intake of respondent between aged 31-50yrs**

Age groups	EAR/AMDR		AI		UL		Intake Mean±SD	Percentile						EAR/AMDR/AI
	M/F	M/F	M/F	M/F	10 <sup>th</sup>	25 <sup>th</sup>		50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>	90 <sup>th</sup>				
<b>Calorie(Kcal)</b>							2694.38±785.48	1910.60	2212.79	2570.16	3058.94	3600.45		
<b>Total fat (%)</b>	20*	-	-	35*			22.24±7.71	13.88	17.79	22.09	26.22	27.74	19.9% <AMDR	
<b>Fat(g/day)</b>	-	-	-	-										
<b>Protein (%)</b>	10*	-	-	35*			13.11±2.79	10.42	11.14	12.54	14.66	16.67	2.4% <AMDR	
<b>Protein (g/kg/day)</b>	-	-	-	-			87.01±30.46	52.29	65.30	80.96	109.65	126.95		
<b>CHO (%)</b>	45*	-	-	65*			64.65±8.54	57.06	60.01	64.69	70.60	73.97	48.7% <AMDR	
<b>CHO (g/d)</b>	100	-	-	-			424.42±117.58	275.06	367.02	402.99	472.32	585.68		
<b>Total fibre (g/day)</b>	-	-	38/25	-			14.56±10.49	3.23	7.68	14.06	20.56	25.80		
<b>Vitamins</b>														
<b>Thiamin (mg/day)</b>	1.0/0.9	-	-	-			12.14±55.01	0.37	0.78	1.23	1.85	3.01	30.8% <EAR	
<b>Riboflavin (mg/day)</b>	1.1/0.9	-	-	-			1.55±0.78	0.50	1.05	1.57	2.15	2.52	25.6% <EAR	
<b>Niacin (mg/day)</b>	12/11	-	-	35			17.32±11.42	4.97	8.41	15.02	23.89	31.79	25.6% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin C (mg/day)</b>	75/56	-	-	2000			44.55±126.46	0.00	1.42	15.00	41.04	70.67	82.1% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin A (mcg/day)</b>	625/500	-	-	3000			213.90±518.22	1.36	20.92	100.82	198.47	403.09	94.8% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin B<sub>6</sub> (mg/day)</b>	1.1/1.1	-	-	100			2.23±5.63	0.28	0.52	1.02	1.45	3.22	51.7% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin B<sub>12</sub> (mcg/day)</b>	2.0/2.0	-	-	-			25.68±68.14	0.322	1.35	1.68	4.21	105.16	56.5% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin D (mcg/day)</b>	10/10	-	-	100			2.57±2.63	0.03	0.47	1.55	3.84	5.67	98.7% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin E (mg/day)</b>	12/12	-	-	1000			3.09±3.00	0.30	0.80	1.98	4.75	7.48	98.7% <EAR	
<b>Vitamin K (mcg/day)</b>	-	-	120/90	90			14.22±14.77	0.61	3.17	11.39	19.57	30.82	100% <EAR	
<b>Folate (mcg/day)</b>	320/320	-	-	-			313.33±208.67	24.51	196.31	281.54	430.15	613.95	56.4% <EAR	
<b>Minerals</b>														
<b>Calcium (mg/day)</b>	800/800	-	-	2500			658.89±459.61	215.50	338.19	521.80	878.30	1329.09	83.8% <EAR	
<b>Phosphorus (mg/day)</b>	580/580	-	-	-			942.99±444.28	526.22	685.41	867.76	1235.72	1361.12	15.1% <EAR	
<b>Iron (mg/day)**</b>	13.7/29.4	-	-	-			21.39±7.42	12.64	14.75	19.94	27.02	31.70	76.9% <EAR	
<b>Sodium (mg/day)</b>	-	-	1500/150	2300			1574.40±1446.95	307.79	586.56	1203.63	2412.42	2903.91	59.9% <EAR	
<b>Zinc (mg/day)**</b>	14.0/9.8	-	-	-			12.09±7.09	5.27	8.69	11.09	13.24	19.11	51.3% <EAR	
<b>Magnesium (mg/day)</b>	350/265	-	-	350			331.79±146.96	173.69	249.61	300.54	380.21	514.64	33.3% <EAR	
<b>Potassium (mg/day)</b>	-	-	4700/470	5100			1671.17±963.47	491.68	1060.01	1506.05	2146.46	3185.04	2.6% >AI	
<b>Selenium (mcg/day)</b>	45/45	-	-	400			68.25±53.08	10.63	28.39	54.73	105.66	137.13	33.8% <EAR	
<b>Copper (mg/day)</b>	0.7/0.7	-	-	10			110.90±575.57	6.55	10.52	14.52	24.62	42.76	78.7% >UL	
<b>EER</b>							2185.74±218.66	1914.76	2037.30	2152.61	2321.17	2495.81		

**Source:** Field Survey, 2022; The following [15] equations were used to calculate Estimated Energy Requirements (EER):

Adult male = 662 - (9.53 x age [years]) + PA x {(15.91 x weight [kg]) + (539.6 x height [m])};

Adult females = 354 - (6.91 x age [years]) + PA x {(9.36 x weight [kg]) + (726 x height [m])}

to that reported for farming households in Northwest Nigeria [12]. Cultivation of crops such as cassava, yam, leave yam, etc, as well as low monthly income by majority of the farming households could have made them to depend majorly on their farm produce which is mainly carbohydrate, thus resulting to the high calorie intakes observed among the two groups of the households' caregivers.

The average contribution of protein, fat and carbohydrate to the total energy intake were adequate for the two age groups as their individual mean intake per day was within the acceptable macronutrient distribution range of 10% to 35% for protein, 20% to 35% for fat and 45% to 65% for carbohydrate. However, a critical examination of the caregivers' average energy intake from protein among the two different age groups showed that the older age group (mean = 13.11%) had higher calorie intake from protein than the younger age group (mean = 11.93%). Also the average energy intake from fat showed that the younger caregivers (19 – 30 years) had higher energy intake from fat than the older age caregivers (30 – 50 years). This shows that the older age group eat more protein than the younger group and this may be attributed to Igbo culture which gives more preference to elders over meat and fish.

However, contribution of fat to energy intake slightly exceeded the upper limit of acceptable macronutrient distribution range for younger caregivers at 90<sup>th</sup> percentile, while contribution of fat to energy intake did not reach the upper limit of acceptable macronutrient distribution range for the older households' caregivers at 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. With this, it could be said that farming households in the study area consumed foods that were moderate in oil and fat.

The apriori expectation with respect to contribution of carbohydrate foods to total dietary fibre was that high consumption of carbohydrate foods would translate to high total fibre intake and vice versa, but despite high consumption of carbohydrate foods among the farming households in the study area, total dietary fibre appeared low. A critical look into each foods consumed by the farming households indicated that the dietary fibre of some of the carbohydrate based foods (*agidi, moi moi uka, abacha, una, etc*) were removed during processing.

This study observed that the average intake per day of thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> among the younger group exceeded their individual Estimated Average Requirement while that of the older group, only thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> intake exceeded their

individual Estimated Average Requirement. Mean intake of vitamins D, E, K, and folate were below their respective Estimated Average Requirement for the two age groups.

With respect to minerals, only phosphorus, iron, sodium, zinc, and selenium average intake were adequate for the younger age group; calcium and potassium mean intake were inadequate; while magnesium and copper average intake were excess (exceeded the Tolerable Upper Intake Levels). For the older age group, phosphorus, iron, sodium, zinc, magnesium, and selenium mean intake were adequate; calcium and potassium average intake were inadequate; while copper's intake was excess. Sodium intake for the two age groups were adequate even though average intake for the younger group (1908.38 mg/day) was higher than the older group's mean intake (1574.40 mg/day). It is possible that farming households in Imo State understood the health implications of excessive sodium intake and its attendant effect on cardiovascular health according to [21] as [22] reported that in 2017, three million deaths were attributed to high salt intake globally.

### Conclusion

The study concludes that mean intake of potassium, calcium, vitamins D and K for the farming households in the study area were inadequate, while protein, fat, and carbohydrate intakes were adequate.

### References

1. World Bank. (2020). Employment in Agriculture (Percent of Total Employment).
2. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). Nigeria at a Glance (FAO in Nigeria).
3. Zaccheaus Olaniyi, O., & Oladokun, Y. (2019). An Empirical Analysis of the Contribution of Agricultural Sector to Nigerian Gross Domestic Product: Implications for Economic Development. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335172698>.
4. Ngongi, A. M., and Urassa, J. K. (2014). Farm Households Food Production and Households' Food Security Status: A Case of Kahama District, Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of Agricultural Science*. Vol.13 No. 2, 40 – 58.
5. Pawlak, K., & Kołodziejczak, M. (2020). The role of agriculture in ensuring food security in developing countries: Considerations in the context of the

- problem of sustainable food production. *Sustainability* (Switzerland), 12(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135488>
6. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2017). *The Future of Food and Agriculture – Trends and Challenges*. Rome.
  7. Food and Agriculture Organization and Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance III Project. (2016). *Minimum Dietary Diversity for Women: A Guide for Measurement*. Rome: FAO.
  8. Von Grebmer, K., Bernstein, J., Hossain, N., Brown, T., and Prasai, T. N. (2018). *2017 Global Hunger Index: The Inequalities of Hunger*. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute.
  9. Ecker, O. (2018). "Agricultural Transformation and Food and Nutrition Security in Ghana: Does Farm Production Diversity (Still) Matter for Household Dietary Diversity?" *Food Policy* 79: 271-282.
  10. National Nutrition and Health Survey (NNHS). (2018). *Report on the Nutrition and Health Situation of Nigeria*. Abuja: National Bureau of Statistic. Available from <https://www.unicef.org/nigeria/reports/national-nutrition-and-health-survey-nnhs-2018>.
  11. Davidson, G., & Ene-Obong, H. (2017). Nutrients Intake of Adults in Urban and Rural Households in Abia and Ebonyi States, Nigeria. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 16(5), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2017/36265>
  12. Eforuoku, F. and Thomas, K. A. (2019). Determinants of Nutrient Adequacy and Influencing Factors of Dietary Intake Pattern among Rural Farming Households in Northwestern Nigeria. *African Journal of Rural Development* 4 (4): 441-457.
  13. Akukwe, T. I. (2020). Household Food Security and its Determinants in Agrarian Communities of Southeastern Nigeria. *Agro-Science*, 19 (1), 54-60. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/as.v19i1.9>.
  14. Achinihu, G. A., Mbah, C. A., & Obi-Anyanwu, J. N. (2016). Nutritional Assessment of Rural Farmers in Imo State, Nigeria; Implication for Health and Well-being. In *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research | Arts* (Vol. 2, Issue 4). [www.ijaar.org](http://www.ijaar.org).
  15. Institute of Medicine. (2005). *Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA; p. 1358.
  16. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, Medicine. (2019). *Dietary Reference Intakes for Sodium and Potassium*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA; p. 594.
  17. Wadhwa, S., Mehta, M., Singh, S. K., & Satija, S. (2018). Vitamin D deficiency, skin, and sunshine: A review. In Article in *International Journal of Green Pharmacy*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327011721>.
  18. Omotesho, O. A., Adenuga, A. H., Dogo, O. J. and Olaghere, I. L. (2019). Assessment of Malnutrition and its Determinants among Under-five Children of Rural Households in Benue State Nigeria. Invited paper presented at the 6th African Conference of Agricultural Economists, September 23-26, 2019, Abuja, Nigeria.
  19. Bhattacharjee, S., Datta, S., Ray, K., and Mukhopadhyay, D. K. (2016). Nutrient Adequacy and its Correlation in a Sub-Himalayan Region of West Bengal, India. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 5(2): 314 – 319. Doi: [10.4103/2249-4863.192315](https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4863.192315).
  20. Ironkwe, A., Ekwe, K., Okoye, B. and Chukwu, I. (2009). Socioeconomic Determinants of Cassava Producers among Women in Ebonyi State. *Journal of Rural Sociology*. Vol 9 (1). August. pp 65.
  21. Jaques, D. A., Wuerzner, G., & Ponte, B. (2021). Sodium intake as a cardiovascular risk factor: A narrative review. *Nutrients*, 13(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13093177>.
  22. Afshin, A.; Sur, P.J.; Fay, K.A.; Cornaby, L.; Ferrara, G.; Salama, J.S.; Mullany, E.C.; Abate, K.H.; Abbafati, C.; Abebe, Z.; et al. (2019). Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*, 393, 1958–1972.